

REMARKS:

This amendment and a Request for Continued Examination are being filed to withdraw the subject application from issuance, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.313:

37 CFR 1.313. Withdrawal from issue.

(a) Applications may be withdrawn from issue for further action at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. To request that the Office withdraw an application from issue, applicant must file a petition under this section including the fee set forth in § 1.17(h) and a showing of good and sufficient reasons why withdrawal of the application from issue is necessary. A petition under this section is not required if a request for continued examination under § 1.114 is filed prior to payment of the issue fee. If the Office withdraws the application from issue, the Office will issue a new notice of allowance if the Office again allows the application.

A Notice of Allowance and Examiner's Amendment was mailed on 8 December 2009. However, the Examiner's Amendment in the Notice of Allowance was not what was agreed upon by Applicant. Specifically, there was no discussion about, and no agreement was reached in the telephonic interview of 17 November 2009 regarding the amendments to replace the term "ontologies" with the term "ontology" or to replace the terms "is associated comprises" with the term "comprising" as shown in the Examiner's amendment, relative to the agreed upon claim language in the telephonic interview of 17 November 2009 and with respect to the status of amendments submitted 15 July 2009. In response, Applicant filed an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 on 9 December 2009 to correct these various "typographical" errors including the listing of the status of the claims.

In addition, Applicant filed a request for consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement Timely Filed on 6 February 2010 and an Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 including a second request for consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement Timely Filed on 5 March 2010.

Applicant's representative, Steven Laureanti telephoned Examiner Chen on 17 February 2010, however, Examiner Chen stated that she would not enter the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312, even though the amendment to the claims was the agreed upon claim language in the

telephonic interview of 17 November 2009. Mr. Laureanti telephone Supervisor Examiner Mofiz on 18 February 2010 and discussed the Examiner's Amendment and the agreed upon claim language. Examiner Mofiz called Mr. Laureanti on 24 February 2010 and indicated that Examiner Chen would enter the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 and would review the reference listed on the request for consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement Timely Filed.

However, Applicant has not received any communication from the Office to indicate whether the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 would be entered or whether the reference listed on the request for consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement Timely Filed has been considered.

Therefore, Applicant is submitting this amendment and Request for Continued Examination to withdraw the subject application from issuance so that the agreed upon claim language in the telephonic interview of 17 November 2009 may be entered and the reference listed on the request for consideration of an Information Disclosure Statement Timely Filed be properly considered.

As discussed above, the amendments to the claims herewith are amended according to the Amendment filed by Applicant under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312 on 9 December 2009 and as agreed upon in the Examiner's interview of 17 November 2009. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner may call the undersigned, Steven J. Laureanti, at (480) 830-2700 if there are any comments or questions regarding this Amendment and Request for Continued Examination.

CONCLUSION:

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this application is considered to be in condition for allowance, and early reconsideration and a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is being filed electronically herewith to facilitate the processing of a credit card authorization. Although Applicant believes no additional fees are deemed to be necessary; the undersigned hereby authorizes the Director to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments, to **Deposit Account No. 500777**. If an extension of time is necessary for allowing this Response to be timely filed, this document is to be construed as also constituting a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) to the extent necessary. Any fee required for such Petition for Extension of Time should be charged to **Deposit Account No. 500777**.

Please link this application to Customer No. 53184 so that its status may be checked via the PAIR System.

Respectfully submitted,

8 March 2009
Date

/Steven J. Laureanti/signed
Steven J. Laureanti, Registration No. 50,274

BOOTH UDALL, PLC
1155 W. Rio Salado Pkwy., Ste. 101
Tempe AZ, 85281
214.636.0799 (mobile)
480.830.2700 (office)
480.830.2717 (fax)
steven@boothudall.com

CUSTOMER NO. 53184